Teaching Grammar Through Project-Based Learning: Empowering Students' English Proficiency

Ledy Nurlely[1]
[1] Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Serang, Indonesia

Abstract: Project based Learning (PjBL), which emphasizes experiential learning, introduces a contrast between the structure of grammar and the open-ended nature of projects. This combination offers teachers with numerous hurdles, changing standard grammar education paradigms. English communication is essential in an increasingly international society. This study investigates the difficulties in incorporating PjBL into English grammar instruction in higher education. It investigates the contradictions between structured grammar and project flexibility, reconciling thorough comprehension with project constraints, and cultivating both grammar mastery and critical thinking. Managing time restrictions and providing direction are critical concerns. To overcome these obstacles, projects must be aligned with curriculum goals, and resources must be available to assist students' investigation. Virtual project experiences via learning platforms and Web 2.0 tools are among the future directions. The success of grammar projects is dependent on proper topic selection, skill integration, and proficiency alignment. They go beyond solitary practice by immersing pupils in real-life language skills. This comprehensive approach connects theoretical grammar understanding with practical language application. Finally, the combination of PjBL and grammar instruction brings education into a new era of transformation. This trip enriches language training by providing learners with communication skills and problem-solving abilities in preparation for the dynamic world ahead.

Keywords: grammar; project-based learning; English proficiency

INTRODUCTION

In the context of higher education, the implementation of innovative teaching methodologies holds the promise of fostering not only academic excellence but also the practical skills essential for the modern workforce. One such approach, Project-Based Learning (PjBL), has gained momentum for its ability to cultivate critical thinking, collaborative problem-solving, and real-world application among students (Kokotsaki et al., 2016). However, when this progressive approach is applied to the intricacies of teaching English grammar, a complex intersection emerges.

English grammar, characterized by its intricate rules and conventions, represents a foundational aspect of language acquisition. The advent of PjBL, which emphasizes experiential learning and hands-on projects, introduces a fascinating juxtaposition between the structured nature of grammar and the open-ended nature of projects (Pluskwik, 2019). This union gives rise to a series of multifaceted challenges that teachers must navigate, redefining traditional paradigms of grammar instruction.

As the need for effective English communication becomes paramount in an increasingly globalized world, the teaching of English grammar remains a pivotal component of language education. This paper delves into the challenges encountered when integrating PjBL into the teaching of English grammar within the realm of higher education. By examining the inherent tensions between structured grammar rules and the freeform nature of projects, the intricacies of striking a balance between comprehensive grammatical understanding and project limitations, and the pursuit of both grammar mastery and critical thinking, this paper sheds light on the intricate interplay.

Through a comprehensive analysis of these challenges, this research aims to uncover the nuances and complexities of incorporating PjBL into English grammar instruction. By identifying these challenges, teachers and researchers can pave the way for innovative strategies that harmonize PJBL with the teaching of English grammar, fostering a dynamic and holistic approach to language education that equips students with both linguistic proficiency and practical skills for the ever-evolving demands of the professional world.

METHOD

To understand how Project-Based Learning (PjBL) can be integrated into English grammar instruction in higher education, a comprehensive review was undertaken. Using keywords like "PjBL," "English grammar," and "higher education," relevant sources were retrieved from academic databases, journals, and educational platforms. A number of research were examined in order to discover trends, difficulties, and effective techniques.

RESULT
Understanding PjBL

In higher education, PjBL provides various benefits. According to Mohammed (2017), PjBL assists students in developing skills such as critical thinking, teamwork, problem solving, research, presentation, communication, negotiation, creativity, and invention. Fernandes (2014) discovered that PjBL increases deep learning and critical professional practice abilities since the project creation provides a real-life framework for connecting theory to practice. Kokotsaki (2016) identified modern digital technology, high-quality group processes, teachers' ability to effectively scaffold students' learning and provide guidance and support, a balance between didactic instruction and in-depth inquiry methods, and well-aligned assessment as facilitating factors in the implementation of PjBL. Shpeizer (2019) examined the fundamental characteristics of PJBL, the benefits it gives to

higher education, and the major challenges to its successful implementation. The

PjBL integration in language education enhances language acquisition and competency, and PjBL can be an effective language teaching strategy. Luo et al. (2022) discovered that PjBL has serious repercussions and facilitates English teaching in Chinese universities. Almaida & Jaelani (2021) proved that introducing PjBL into Education 4.0 can increase EFL students' language skills. According to Klegeris & Hurren (2011) PjBL is useful in supporting students in developing a wide range of skills while encouraging the use of their creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving talents. (KAVLU, 2016) also supports for the usage of PjBL in EFL classrooms to provide students with innovative English language acquisition and 21st-century skills. Overall, the findings suggest that PjBL can be an effective teaching strategy.

Learner-centered approaches are used in successful PjBL efforts to empower students to do research, integrate theory and practice, and use knowledge and skills to generate a viable solution to a stated problem (Savery, 2006). PjBL can teach a variety of tactics essential for success in the twenty-first century, including as technical skills, communication, and problem-solving abilities (Bell, 2010). However, implementing PjBL can be difficult, and similar difficulties have been documented at the individual, teacher, and student levels, as well as at the institutional and cultural levels (Chen et al., 2021). A portfolio assessment model can be used to link and encourage individual contributions to collaborative projects and activities in order to optimize PjBL (Richards, 2015).

The Role of Grammar in Language Proficiency

Grammar is essential for effective communication, but the context in which it is taught and used is critical as well. According to (Praise & Meenakshi, 2014), grammar is the foundation of communication and communicative language teaching (CLT) is the best way to language training. According to (Mitchell & Redmond, 1993), grammar and communication must work together to generate more proficient language users. According to (Alhamdany, 2012), teaching grammar in an interactive and contextual manner was helpful in engaging students in learning and increasing their communicative skills. According to Mart (2013), teaching grammar in context helps students expand their grasp of grammar and master English.

Teaching grammar in real-world circumstances necessitates dealing with a number of obstacles, including the requirement for effective teaching tactics, resolving students' learning difficulties, and adapting to changing contexts. Al-Mekhlafi & Nagaratnam (2011) discovered that teachers struggle with teaching grammar to EFL students, while Mart (2013) proposes that teaching grammar in context may be more effective. (Abdul & Waseel, 2020) noted numerous issues in English grammar teaching and learning in EFL situations, including a lack of encouragement, the primacy of the grammar-translation process, and grammatical learning difficulties. Nanquil (2021) discusses the difficulties faced by language teachers in the Philippines when teaching grammar during the pandemic, like as pupils' weak language ability and disdain of grammar courses.

Project-Based Learning for Grammar Mastery

Grammar standards can be matched with PjBL. Kusumawati (2021) discovered that PJBL can increase students' speaking skills in vocational high school. (Gibbes & Carson, 2014) investigated PjBL student reflections and discovered that language learning projects can coincide with the object of activity, which differed for each learner. Fotos & Ellis (1991) discovered that communicative, grammar-based exercises can help students learn a difficult L2 rule. According to (Perrianty et al., 2019) PjBL is a type of instruction that focuses on students and creates meaningful learning experiences. Grammar standards can be effectively aligned with relevant learning experiences using PjBL.

PjBL can be an excellent method of teaching grammar that is both engaging and inspiring. Chiou (2019) discovered that PjBL increased the grammar competence and motivation of poor achievers to study English. According to Leong (2009), PjBL can be utilized to teach Business English in a method that promotes collaborative decision-making and team-building abilities. Wang (2016) discovered that using PjBL in conjunction with an online education platform boosted students' enthusiasm to learn English. (Huang & Wang, 2012) discovered that PjBL was practicable and effective for teaching English interpretation abilities, and that students had favorable attitudes toward PjBL and were eager to learn. PjBL can be a valuable method for engaging and inspiring students when teaching grammar.

Designing Effective Grammar Projects

1. *Selecting Appropriate Grammar Topics for Projects*

Selecting appropriate grammar themes is a critical step in creating effective language projects. This entails determining grammatical concepts that correspond to the students' learning objectives and linguistic demands. The importance of the chosen grammatical points in real-life communication should also be considered in the choosing. For example, if the goal is to improve students' conversational skills, project themes could include employing tenses to narrate stories or conditionals to make suggestions. The goal is to ensure that the grammar themes chosen resonate with the pupils and allow for meaningful application.

2. *Structuring Projects to Incorporate Multiple Language Skills*

Grammar projects that are effective should go beyond isolated language practice and integrate diverse language abilities to reflect real-world language use. In addition to grammar practice, students should participate in listening, speaking, reading, and writing activities as part of the project. A project based on constructing a restaurant menu, for example, could entail researching ingredients (reading), discussing menu possibilities (speaking), and writing descriptions for each dish (writing). Students develop a broad understanding of how grammar functions in many settings by incorporating a variety of language abilities.

3. *Aligning Projects with Language Proficiency Levels*

Grammar projects that are effective should go beyond isolated language practice and integrate diverse language abilities to reflect real-world language use. In addition to grammar practice, students should participate in listening,

speaking, reading, and writing activities as part of the project. A project based on constructing a restaurant menu, for example, could entail researching ingredients (reading), discussing menu possibilities (speaking), and writing descriptions for each dish (writing). Students develop a broad understanding of how grammar functions in many settings by incorporating a variety of language abilities.

Overcoming Challenges in Grammar Through Projects
1. Addressing Time Constraints and Curriculum Requirements

One of the challenges teachers face when implementing the Grammar Through Projects methodology is the pressure of time constraints and adherence to established curriculum requirements. Traditional grammar instruction might seem more time-efficient due to its focused approach. However, to tackle this challenge, teachers can strategically integrate projects within the curriculum by selecting projects that align with curriculum objectives. By identifying key grammar concepts that can be incorporated into projects, teachers can ensure that project-based learning enhances rather than hinders curriculum coverage. This might involve collaborative planning among teachers to allocate time effectively and ensure that both grammar concepts and project outcomes are adequately addressed.

2. Providing Necessary Guidance and Resources for Students

While project-based learning encourages independent thinking and exploration, students may face challenges when it comes to understanding project guidelines, accessing resources, and applying grammar rules effectively. To address this, teachers can offer clear and comprehensive project guidelines, detailing expectations, milestones, and evaluation criteria. Additionally, providing a range of resources such as reference materials, online sources, and examples can empower students to research, structure, and execute their projects successfully. Regular check-ins, workshops, and peer collaboration can further support students in navigating challenges and optimizing their language learning journey.

Future Directions and Possibilities

To generate virtual project experiences in grammar education, virtual learning environments and Web 2.0 tools can be used. Kovacic et al. (2012) discovered that Web 2.0 tools, such as grammar-based e-activities, can be used to build linguistic abilities through collaborative learning. Jiang et al. (2010) created a project-based 3D virtual learning environment for English language learning that allows for increased engagement and synchronous communication. According to Orsini-Jones & Jones (2007), a Virtual Learning Environment (VLE) can boost students' interest and knowledge of subject matter, especially when students take ownership of their learning process. (Khodabandeh, 2021) compared interactions between instructors and students, as well as interactions between students themselves, in traditional and Learning Management Systems (LMS) classes, and discovered that LMS teaching was student-centered and dynamic, with positive effects on teaching grammar both explicitly and implicitly.

Grammar instruction is still necessary for language learning, but it should be combined with instruction in other language skills. Grammar instruction, according to Kao (2009) is vital to minimize pidginization and premature fossilization in language acquisition, and grammar should be taught by engaging learners in meaningful and compelling tasks. According to Campbell (1970), teaching grammatical principles is beneficial to learners, but it should be followed by adequate practice in using those rules in realistic communication encounters. Raikhapoor (2020) discovered that instructors' ideas about grammar instruction mirrored their classroom practices, and that some teachers felt comfortable explaining grammar classes in their own language. According to Newton (2016), students may struggle with all language abilities, but listening and speaking are particularly problematic.

Different grammar teaching approaches can have varying long-term consequences on student performance, and their efficacy may be dependent on the individual context and demands of the pupils. Ho & Binh, (2014) discovered that communicative grammar instruction increased students' grammatical understanding as well as their oral communication skills. Suradin et al., (2022) discovered that students' attitudes toward explicit and implicit grammar instruction approaches differ, with some favoring one over the other. Tanaka & Ishizaki (2018) discovered that linguistic activities in the classroom increased kids' reading and math exam scores, but the impact may vary depending on the learning environment. Ahmed (2013) discovered that students in Bangladesh fail to grasp grammatical competence, and he recommends creating dynamic and fascinating techniques to teach grammar in order to increase student performance.

DISCUSSION

The integration of PjBL with the teaching of English grammar in higher education presents a dynamic landscape where traditional pedagogical approaches intersect with innovative methodologies. This section delves into the implications, challenges, and potential outcomes of merging these seemingly disparate realms.

Understanding PjBL and its Alignment with Language Education:

Project-Based Learning has garnered recognition for its capacity to nurture critical thinking, collaborative skills, and real-world problem-solving abilities among students. Within the context of language education, PjBL offers an avenue to bridge theoretical knowledge and practical application, enhancing students' language proficiency and communication skills. The multifaceted benefits of PjBL align well with the broader goals of preparing students for the demands of the modern workforce, equipping them with both linguistic competence and valuable transferable skills.

Challenges in PjBL Integration for Grammar Instruction

While the merits of PjBL in language education are evident, the junction of structured grammar rules and the open-ended nature of projects presents unique challenges. Addressing these challenges requires a delicate balance between fostering grammatical mastery and nurturing students' creative problem-solving skills. Challenges such as time constraints and curriculum requirements necessitate careful planning and alignment of projects with educational objectives (Chen, 2020). Moreover, the provision of guidance

and resources is pivotal to ensure that students can navigate project complexities and effectively apply grammar concepts (Nanquil, 2021).

The Role of Grammar in Language Proficiency

Grammar's significance in effective communication is undeniable, but its teaching methodology holds the key to its successful integration. Embracing communicative language teaching approaches that contextualize grammar within real-world usage enhances students' ability to comprehend and apply grammar rules (Praise, 2014; Mart, 2013). The challenges associated with teaching grammar lie in addressing students' difficulties and adjusting to changing learning contexts, necessitating dynamic and adaptable instructional strategies (Waseel, 2020; Al-Mekhlafi, 2011).

Leveraging PjBL for Grammar Mastery

The potential of PjBL in teaching grammar becomes apparent when its principles are aligned with specific language learning goals. By selecting grammar topics relevant to practical communication, projects can engage students while imparting grammatical knowledge (Kusumawati, 2020). The integration of language skills within projects ensures that students not only understand grammar concepts but also experience their application in authentic language contexts (Chiou, 2019). The alignment of PjBL with grammar standards and its emphasis on collaborative learning also reinforce its effectiveness.

Designing Effective Grammar Projects

The intricacies of designing effective grammar projects underscore the importance of topic selection, skill integration, and proficiency level alignment. Selecting grammar topics that resonate with students' interests and are relevant to real-life scenarios enhances engagement and application. Integrating multiple language skills within projects replicates the multifaceted nature of language use, promoting a holistic understanding of grammar's role in communication. Furthermore, aligning project complexity with students' proficiency levels fosters a sense of achievement while promoting continuous growth.

CONCLUSION

The merging of Project-Based Learning with grammar instruction exemplifies education's progress in a changing environment. This perfect balance honors tradition while welcoming innovation, developing a generation of learners armed with the capacity to effectively communicate, the creativity to solve real-world problems, and the adaptability to succeed in a quickly changing global landscape. The transformative potential of this synergy calls teachers to go on a road that enriches language instruction, empowers learners, and forges a brighter future as this journey unfolds.

REFERENCES

Abdul, A., & Waseel, K. (2020). *Exploring Problems in Teaching and Learning Grammar in EFL Context : A Review Paper*. *03*(07), 21–27.

Ahmed, S. (2013). The current practices of teaching grammar in CLT at secondary school level in Bangladesh: Problems and probable solutions. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, *3*(8), 1328–1334.

https://doi.org/10.4304/tpls.3.8.1328-1334

Al-Mekhlafi, A. M., & Nagaratnam, R. P. (2011). Difficulties in teaching and learning grammar in an EFL context. *International Journal of Instruction*, *4*(2), 14–17. http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICWebPortal/contentdelivery/servlet/ERICServlet?accno=ED522689

Alhamdany, H. (2012). The Usefulness of Systemic Functional Grammar and Its Impact on Students' Communicative Skills in ESL Context. *European Scientific Journal*, *8*(11), 176–194. https://doi.org/10.19044/ESJ.2012.V8N11P

Bell, S. (2010). Project-Based Learning for the 21st Century: Skills for the Future. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, *83*(2), 39–43. https://doi.org/10.1080/00098650903505415

Campbell, R. N. (1970). An Evaluation and Comparison of Present Methods for Teaching English Gammar to Speakers of Other Languages. *TESOL Quarterly*, *4*(1), 37. https://doi.org/10.2307/3585777

Chen, J., Kolmos, A., & Du, X. (2021). Forms of implementation and challenges of PBL in engineering education: a review of literature. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, *46*(1), 90–115. https://doi.org/10.1080/03043797.2020.1718615

Chiou, B. (2019). The application of problem-based learning approach in english grammar instruction: A pilot study. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, *10*(3), 446–453. https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.1003.06

Fernandes, S. R. G. (2014). Preparing Graduates for Professional Practice: Findings from a Case Study of Project-based Learning (PBL). *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *139*, 219–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.08.064

Fotos, S., & Ellis, R. (1991). Communicating about Grammar: A Task-Based Approach. *TESOL Quarterly*, *25*(4), 605. https://doi.org/10.2307/3587079

Gibbes, M., & Carson, L. (2014). Project-based language learning: An activity theory analysis. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, *8*(2), 171–189. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2013.793689

Gita Almaida, H., & Jaelani, A. (2021). the Integration of Project-Based Learning (Pbl) in Education 4.0 Toward Efl Students' Activities. *English Journal*, *15*(1), 50. https://doi.org/10.32832/english.v15i1.4562

Ho, P. V. P., & Binh, N. T. (2014). The effects of communicative grammar teaching on students' achievement of grammatical knowledge and oral production. *English Language Teaching*, *7*(6), 74–86. https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v7n6p74

Huang, K., & Wang, T.-P. (2012). Utilizing Problem-based Learning (PBL) in a University English Interpretation Class. *The Journal of Human Resource and Adult Learning*, *8*(1), 7–15. http://www.hraljournal.com/Page/2 Tzu-Pu Wang.pdf

Jiang, X., Liu, C., & Chen, L. (2010). Implementation of a project-based 3D virtual learning environment for english language learning. *ICETC 2010 - 2010 2nd International Conference on Education Technology and Computer*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICETC.2010.5529546

Kao, C. (2007). The role of grammar teaching in college EFL. 年健康與管理學術研討會, 1–16.

https://doi.org/10.29857/FHKAJ.200906.0011

KAVLU, A. (2016). The Effect of Project–Based Learning on Undergraduate EFL Students' Reading Comprehension Ability. *Journal of Education in Black Sea Region*, *1*(1). https://doi.org/10.31578/jebs.v1i1.8

Khodabandeh, F. (2021). Comparing Learners ' Interactions in Conventional and Virtual Classes of Distance Education University : Examining Two Approaches of Teaching Grammar * Classes of Distance Education University : Examining Two. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Learning*, *13*(January), 265–294. https://doi.org/10.22034/ELT.2021.44749.2352

Klegeris, A., & Hurren, H. (2011). Impact of problem-based learning in a large classroom setting: Student perception and problem-solving skills. *American Journal of Physiology - Advances in Physiology Education*, *35*(4), 408–415. https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00046.2011

Kokotsaki, D. (2016). Project-based learning: A review of the literature. *Improving Schools*, *19*(3), 267–277. https://doi.org/10.1177/1365480216659733

Kokotsaki, D., Menzies, V., & Wiggins, A. (2016). Project-based learning: A review of the literature. *Improving Schools*, *19*(3), 267–277. https://doi.org/10.1177/1365480216659733

Kovacic, A., Bubas, G., & Coric, A. (2012). Mobilising students' grammar skills through collaborative e-tivities with Web 2.0 tools. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *34*, 132–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.02.027

Kusumawati, I. (2021). The Implementation of Project-Based Learning's Speaking Skill for Tenth Grade Vocational High School Students. *Celt: A Journal of Culture, English Language Teaching & Literature*, *19*(2), 377. https://doi.org/10.24167/celt.v19i2.535

Luo, Z., Shi, Y., & Tang, Y. (2022). Review of the Implementation of PBL Teaching Model in Chinese College English Education. *Proceedings of the 2021 4th International Conference on Humanities Education and Social Sciences (ICHESS 2021)*, *615*. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.211220.384

Mart, Ç. T. (2013a). Teaching grammar in context: Why and how? *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, *3*(1), 124–129. https://doi.org/10.4304/tpls.3.1.124-129

Mart, Ç. T. (2013b). Teaching grammar in context: Why and how? *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, *3*(1), 124–129. https://doi.org/10.4304/tpls.3.1.124-129

Mitchell, J. T., & Redmond, M. L. (1993). Rethinking Grammar and Communication. *Foreign Language Annals*, *26*(1), 13–19. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-9720.1993.tb01146.x

Mohammed, N. (2017). Project-based learning in higher education in the UAE: a case study of Arab students in Emirati Studies. *Learning and Teaching in Higher Education: Gulf Perspectives*, *14*(2), 73–86. https://doi.org/10.18538/lthe.v14.n2.294

Nanquil, L. M. (2021). Changes and Challenges in the Teaching of Grammar in the Age of Disruption. *Journal of Learning and Development Studies*, *1*(1), 01–06. https://doi.org/10.32996/jlds.2021.1.1.1

Newton, J. (2016). Teaching language skills. In *The Routledge Handbook of English Language Teaching* (pp. 428–440). https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315676203.CH30

Ng Chin Leong, P. (2009). The Power of Problem-based Learning (PBL) in the EFL classroom. *Polyglossia*, *16*, 41–48.

Orsini-Jones, M., & Jones, D. (2007). Supporting collaborative grammar learning via a virtual learning environment. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*, *6*(1), 90–106. https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022207072230

Perrianty, F., Hardeli, Mawardi, Zainul, R., Julita, R., & Yenti, R. (2019). Improving Critical Thinking Skills through Module Solubility and Solubility Results Based on Discovery Learning with Probing Prompting Techniques at SMAN 1 Pariaman. *International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies (IJPSAT)*, *16*(1), 246–250. http://ijpsat.es/index.php/ijpsat/article/view/1184/632

Pluskwik, E. (2019, June 17). Iron range engineering - An overview of design and open-ended problem solving activities in an interdisciplinary, project-based learning program. *ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition, Conference Proceedings*. https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--31913

Praise, S., & Meenakshi, K. (2014). Importance of grammar in communication. *International Journal of Research Studies in Language Learning*, *4*(1). https://doi.org/10.5861/ijrsll.2014.789

Raikhapoor. (2020). *Teachers' Beliefs and Practices on Teaching Grammar*. 65–68. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200311.013

Richards, J. C. (2015). The changing face of language learning: Learning beyond the classroom. *Relc Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688214561621

Savery, J. R. (2006). Overview of Problem-based Learning: Definitions and Distinctions. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, *1*(1). https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1002

Shpeizer, R. (2019). Towards a successful integration of project-based learning in higher education: Challenges, technologies and methods of implementation. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, *7*(8), 1765–1771. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2019.070815

Suradin, F. N., Ali, S. W., & Bay, I. W. (2022). Students' Perspectives Toward Grammar Teaching Methods in English Language Education Study Program. *Ideas: Jurnal Pendidikan, Sosial, Dan Budaya*, *8*(4), 1299. https://doi.org/10.32884/ideas.v8i4.1050

Tanaka, R., & Ishizaki, K. (2018). Do teaching practices matter for students' academic achievement? A case of linguistic activity. *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, *50*, 26–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjie.2018.06.002

Wang, B.-T. (2016). Applying Pbl and Zuvio To Enhance English Learning Motivation. *International Journal of Cyber Society and Education*, *9*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.7903/ijcse.1433